## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

REFTELS:

PAGE 01 STATE 056984
54 ORIGIN EUR-12
INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03
NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 EB-07
ACDA-05 IO-11 SAJ-01 /083 R
DRAFTED BY EUR/CE:JKORNBLUM:BJR APPROVED BY EUR:RICHARD D. VINE
EUR/CE:DANDERSON
L/EUR:DSMALL
C :JDOBBINS
S/S - MR. ORTIZ
060118
P R 091800Z MAR 76
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO AMEMBASSY BONN PRIORITY  LISMISSION LISPEDI IN PRIORITY
USMISSION USBERLIN PRIORITY INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON
AMEMBASSY PARIS
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
AMEMBASSY BERLIN
USMISSION NATO
USMISSION EC BRUSSELS
CONFIDENTIAL STATE 056984
E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: PGOV, PFOR, EEC, WB, GE, GW
SUBJECT: BERLIN AND DIRECT ELECTIONS TO THE EUROPEAN - PARLIAMENT
REF: (A) BONN 2774; (B) USBERLIN 364; (C) BONN 3348; (D) USBERLIN 401
1. DEPARTMENT HAS FOLLOWING COMMENTS ON ISSUES RELATING TO ELECTION OF BERLIN REPS TO EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT RAISED IN CONFIDENTIAL
CONFIDENTIAL
PAGE 02 STATE 056984

(A) FRG PROPOSAL FOR BERLIN CLAUSE TO ELECTION LAW (REF (A)) IS ACCEPTABLE MEANS OF PROVIDING FOR INDIRECT ELECTION OF BERLIN DEPUTIES. DEPARTMENT CAN ACCEPT DRAFT TEXT AND PROPOSAL FOR ELECTION BY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

- (B) CONCERNS LEADING TO UK DESIRE FOR PROTOCOL TO EC CONVENTION STRIKE US, TOO, AS BEING SOMEWHAT THEORETICAL, AND WE IN ANY CASE WOULD DEFER TO EC MEMBERS ON REQUIREMENTS FOR SUCH A STEP. HOWEVER, THE TYPE OF UNILATERAL STATEMENT FOR THE RECORD DESCRIBED BY USBER IN REF (D) WOULD HAVE THE ADVANTAGE OF INCLUDING THE BERLIN STATEMENT IN EC DOCUMENTS IN THE SAME FASHION AS WAS DONE IN 1957. IF FRG BELIEVES SUCH A STATEMENT IS ACCEPTABLE, WE WOULD RAISE NO OBJECTION AND WOULD IN FACT SEE ADVANTAGES FROM A PRESENTATIONAL POINT OF VIEW.
- (C) WE HAVE NO STRONG FEELINGS ON OTHER UK CONCERNS REPORTED IN PARA 3 OF REF (C). WE DO, HOWEVER, SEE NO REASON TO SUBSTITUTE "BERLIN (WEST)" FOR "LAND BERLIN" IN THE BERLIN CLAUSE.
- (D) DEPARTMENT AGREES THAT ALLIES SHOULD ISSUE DOCUMENT TO SHOW THAT RESERVATION MADE BY FRG IS RESULT OF ALLIED EXERCISE OF AUTHORITY. WE PREFER, HOWEVER, BK/L TO BK/O, SINCE THIS IS MORE NORMAL WAY TO DEAL WITH SUCH AN ISSUE AND WE HAVE NO PROBLEM ABOUT, RELEASING A BK/L TEXT IF DESIRED. WE WOULD NOT WISH TO IMPLY THAT DIRECT ELECTION CONVENTION CALLED FOR MORE OF A LAW MAKING ALLIED EXERCISE THAN ORIGINAL EC CONVENTION.
- (E) WHETHER BK/O OR BK/L, WE BELIEVE TEXT ALONG LINES SUGGESTED BY US BERLIN (PARA 6, REF B) WOULD BE APPROPRIATE. IN OUR VIEW, IT WOULD BE SUFFICIENT TO HAVE THE FIRST SENTENCE SIMPLY READ "THE ALLIED KOMMANDATURA APPROVES THE APPLICATION TO BERLIN OF THE ABOVE-NAMED TREATY SUBJECT TO ALLIED RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES." THIS FORMULATION OR SOMETHING SIMILAR SHOULD ADEQUATELY SAFEGUARD THE ALLIED POSITION, WITHOUT UNNECESSARILY CASTING DOUBT ON THE FULL LEGAL COMPATIBILITY OF THE ELECTION AGREEMENT WITH ALLIED OBLIGATIONS.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 056984

(F) DEPARTMENT STRONGLY AGREES THAT NO ACTION SHOULD BE TAKEN ON QUESTION OF VOTING RIGHTS. IN OUR VIEW WE SHOULD PROCEED FROM THE ASSUMPTION THAT BERLIN DEPUTIES WILL POSSESS ALL RIGHTS GRANTED TO DIRECTLY-ELECTED MEMBERS OF THE PARLIAMENT. PROTECTION OF ALLIED RIGHTS CONTAINED IN APPROPRIATE AK DOCUMENT WOULD LEAVE US ENOUGH LATITUDE TO LIMIT VOTING RIGHTS IN FUTURE -- IF NECESSARY. IN OUR VIEW, ANY MORE DIRECT STATEMENT BY ALLIES WOULD MAKE PUBLIC

ISSUE OF ENTIRE QUESTION OF VOTING RIGHTS AND WOULD SURELY DRAW A SOVIET RESPONSE.

2. CONCERNING THE OVERALL QUESTION OF SOVIET RESPONSE RAISED IN PARA. 14 OF REF(C), DEPARTMENT AGREED THAT FIRST CONCERN OF BONN GROUP SHOULD BE TO PRESERVE ALLIED RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES IN BERLIN. HOWEVER, WE CANNOT OVERLOOK THE FACT THAT THE SOVIETS HAVE FOCUSSED ON THE ENTIRE QUESTION OF BERLIN'S RELATIONSHIP TO THEEC AS MAJOR POINT OF ATTACK AGAINST WESTERN POLICIES IN THE CITY. IN OUR VIEW, ANOTHER IMPORTANT ALLIED OBJECTIVE IS TO ASSURE THAT BERLIN'S RELATIONSHIP TO THE EC DEVELOPS AS FULLY AND AS SMOOTHLY AS POSSIBLE. THIS GOAL WILL BE EASIER TO ACHIEVE IF STEPS SUCH AS ELECTION OF BERLIN'S REPRESENTATIVE UNDER NEW EC ELECTION LAW ARE CARRIED OUT WITH AS LITTLE PUBLIC DEBATE AS POSSIBLE. SO FAR, THE PROCESS HAS BEEN

CARRIED OUT SMOOTHLY AND WITH LITTLE PUBLIC DEBATE. WHILE NOT WANTING TO WEAKEN NECESSARY LEGAL MEASURES, DEPARTMENT BELIEVES STRONGLYTHAT CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO PRESENTING ALLIED AND FRG DECISIONS IN A FASHION LEAST LIKELY TO STIMULATE PUBLIC DEBATE OR RAISE UNNECESSARY QUESTIONS, EITHER WITHIN THE FRG OR BETWEEN THE WEST AND THE SOVIETS AND GDR. KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: BERLIN QUADRIPARTITE MATTERS, ELECTIONS, GOVERNMENT REACTIONS, CENTRAL LEGISLATURE

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 09 MAR 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ShawDG
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976STATE056984

Document Number: 1976STATE056984
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: JKORNBLUM:BJR

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D760089-0316

From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760379/aaaacrtc.tel Line Count: 139 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ORIGIN EUR Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 76 BONN 2774, 76 USBERLIN 364, 76 BONN 3348
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: ShawDG

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 31 MAR 2004

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <31 MAR 2004 by MartinML>; APPROVED <29 JUL 2004 by ShawDG>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: BERLIN AND DIRECT ELECTIONS TO THE EUROPEAN - PARLIAMENT

TAGS: PGOV, PFOR, WB, GC, GE, US, EEC, EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

To: BONN USBERLIN

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006